



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/888,462 07/07/97 SCHARF C 2730-01 **EXAMINER** IM71/0119 PATENT ADMINISTRATOR MCAVOY, E THE LUBIRZOL CORPORATION ART UNIT 29400 LAKELAND BOULEVARD PAPER NUMBER WICKLIFFE OH 44092-2298 19 1764 DATE MAILED: 01/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	08/888,462	SCHARF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ellen M McAvoy	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M N.	ONTH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodified the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) be consisted. 	days, a reply within the statutory mir	nimum of thirty (30) days will
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution. - Failure to reply is specified above, the maximum statution.	atory period will apply and will expire ill, by statute, cause the application to	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1		- ,
20\M This ==4: : =10.00	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Wanca ayaant fan fansa - I	
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims		,
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-29</u> is/are pending in the	application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-29</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/	Or election requirement	
Application Papers	or orosion requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	to by the Examiner.	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	is: a)[_] approved b)[_] d 	isapproved.
	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIF	FIED copies of the priority dod	Cuments have been
1. received.	1 at the phoney doe	ourients have been.
 received in Application No. (Series Cod 	e / Serial Number)	
3. received in this National Stage application	on from the International Bure	Pau (PCT Pulo 17 2/o))
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(e).
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/888,462

Art Unit: 1764

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-29 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tipton et al (4,594,378).

Applicants amendments and arguments filed November 17, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth in previous office actions, Tipton et al ["Tipton"] teach polymeric compositions which exhibit improved shear stability in transmission and hydraulic fluids while maintaining high and low temperature viscosity characteristics. The polymeric compositions comprise a mixture of (A) at least one oil-soluble polymer, (B-1) at least one nitrogen-containing ester of a carboxy-containing interpolymer and/or (B-2) at least one oil-soluble acrylate polymerization product of at least one acrylate ester. The polymeric component (A) may comprise homopolymers prepared from C₃ -C₂₀ monoolefins such as butene and isobutene. See col. 3, lines 4 et seq. Number average molecular weights (Mw) of such polymers range from about 500 to about 100,000, and are preferably in the range of about 750 to about 10,000. See col. 2, lines 55-65 and the claims. Applicants amendment to claim 1 limiting the polymer component to one having a Mw less than 50,000 fails to distinguish this component over Tipton where a polymer having such a Mw is still encompassed. The acrylate

Application/Control Number: 08/888,462

Art Unic: 1764

polymerizeration product, component (B-2), may comprise polyacrylates (when X=H) and polymethacrylates (when X=CH₃) as set forth in col. 4, lines 25-30. Components (A) and (B-2) of Tipton clearly encompass component (A) of the instant claims which may comprise mixtures of polymer component and Tipton teaches that components (A) and (B-2) combined add to 0.2% to about 30% by weight in either a transmission fluid or a hydraulic fluid. This overlaps the range of 15-40% by weight of the instant claims, which has now amended in claim 1 to an amount of from 20% to about 40%. The polymeric compositions of the prior art may also comprise component (C), at least one low temperature viscosity-reducing liquid organic diluent such as naphthenic oil, alkylated aromatic oils and synthetic carboxylic acid ester oils. See col. 18, line 13 to col. 19, line 8. The diluent component of Tipton may be present in the composition in an amount of about 1% to about 35% by weight which encompasses the claimed range of about 10% to about 30% by weight for this component. This clearly encompasses fluidizing agent (B) of the instant claims.

The base oils used in preparing the transmission fluids and the hydraulic fluids of Tipton may comprise either natural oils or synthetic oils. Mineral lubricating oils are set forth as an example of a preferred natural oil. See col. 23, lines 36 et seq. Tipton also allows for the addition of conventional lubricant additives to the composition in conventional amounts and include detergent/dispersants, extreme pressure agents, anti-wear agents and oxidation inhibitors. See col. 19, lines 24 to col. 23, top. This clearly encompasses components (C), (D) and (E) of

Art Unit: 1764

the instant claims. Thus, the examiner is still of the position that the composition of the instant claims is encompassed by the prior art to Tipton.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen McAvoy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2510. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on (703) 308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy January 18, 2001